UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/668,789 | 09/23/2003 | Yong D. Zhao | P0010040.00 | 4098 |
| 27581 MEDTRONIC, | 7590 11/02/200 INC. | | EXAMINER | |
| 710 MEDTRON | NIC PARKWAY NE | ALTER, ALYSSA MARGO | | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3762 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/02/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/668,789 | ZHAO ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Alyssa M. Alter | 3/62 | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>05 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviral (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the con | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | hortened statutory period for reply origi | nally set in the final Offic | e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | ionog with 27 CEP 41 27 must be t | filed within two months | of the data of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | M. One attacked Nation of Name On | P(A (/) | DTOL OOA) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | - | : | . 4 |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | n be entered and an e. | xpianation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | | |
| | /George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3762 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments regarding the taper zone are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Dutcher does not not disclose a taper zone originating in the curved segment. The Applicant further argues that it is located only in a straight portion of the stylet. However, the examiner is permitted to employ the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim when considering the language of the claims. Thus the examiner is permitted to consider the "taper zone" to be the portions of Dutcher as previously made of record with the curved SEGMENT beginning in the curve portion "B" and ending at the distal portion of "C". Since the claim merely recites a "zone" or "segment" the examiner can consider the "segment" to begin or end in a straight portion.

NOTE--the claim does not state that the taper is in a curved portion of the curved segment.